

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMM United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,553	02/04/2004	James E. Moon	48900-01018	3925
34013	7590 06/30/2004		EXAMINER	
HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN, LLP			SMITH, JOHNNIE L	
299 SOUTH I SUITE 1800	MAIN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE	CITY, UT 84111		2881	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/771,553	MOON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Johnnie L Smith II	2881			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Fe	ebruary 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	4) [] Into-::	(PTO 412)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 20, 27, and 29 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,245,227 (Moon et al). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all limitations being claim herein by applicant are disclosed in the above listed claims. For example; applicants' claim 1 is disclosed in patent '227 claim 1, applicants' claims 2 and 3 is disclosed in patent '227 claim 9, applicants' claims 4 and 5 is disclosed in patent '227 Claim 5, and so on.... The subject matter

being claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US patents; 6,563,111 (Moon et al), 6,454,938 (Moon et al), 6,569,324 (Moon et al). All of the cited US patents contain art similar to that being claimed by applicant, more specifically, micro fabricated electrospray methods and apparatuses.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Johnnie L Smith II whose telephone number is 571-272-2481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7-4 P.M. and Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R Lee can be reached on 571-272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,553

Art Unit: 2881

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Johnnie L Smith II

Examiner

Art Unit 2881

y 511

JOYAN R. LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

12